

09/089 834

PATENT
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. INTL0033 (P5395)

APPLICATION
FOR
UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

TITLE: BINARY COMPATIBLE SOFTWARE OBJECTS
APPLICANT: KENNETH S. KNAPTON, III

09089834-060398
86E090-4E868060

Express Mail No. EL138208973US
Date Mailed: June 3, 1998

Background

This invention relates generally to object oriented software technologies and particularly to software objects which are binary compatible.

- 5 ActiveX controls are a subset of the COM object oriented software technology. COM can use a variety of different object oriented program languages such as C++, Java and Visual Basic. ActiveX controls are typically plugged into a control container which is a type of client.
- 10 The ActiveX controls self-register on a computer in a database. In Windows®-based platforms, the database is called a registry. The registry provides a way for a control to advise the client about the control's functionality. More specifically, the ActiveX control
- 15 places keys in the registry or database to let the container know its functionality. The registry includes information which identifies a particular control or object including Globally Unique Identifiers (GUIDs), Category Identifiers (CATIDs), and Class Identifiers (CLSIDs).
- 20 A layer class, wrapper or interface definition is a source code level version of a COM object. It provides an interface between the container or client and the object which may be an ActiveX control. Additional controls may be inserted, snapped in or "plugged in" to a container that
- 25 already has one or more controls. A plug-in control is source compatible if a new version of the control works unchanged in a container application but the user program must be rebuilt. That is, the application program must be recompiled and then the application can be run without
- 30 further change.

With a "binary compatible" control, a new version

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 is a high level flow diagram in accordance with one aspect of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a more detailed flow chart for
5 implementing a flow in accordance with Fig. 1; and

Fig. 3 is a conceptual depiction of an approach to object oriented programming.

Detailed Description

10 Referring to Fig. 1, a method for object oriented programming may be implemented in any object oriented programming technology including COM, ActiveX, Java, Visual Basic, C++ and the like. As indicated in block 10, a first object with a first GUID is registered on the system. Then
15 a second object with a second GUID may be registered as indicated in block 12. Time may pass between the first and second registrations. The registration may be done in any conventional database for registering objects including the so-called registry utilized in Windows®-based platforms.

20 As indicated in block 14, it is thereafter possible to selectively access one of the first and second objects using the same client or container despite the fact that the objects have different GUIDs. In addition, these first and second objects may be accessed using the same container or
25 client despite the fact that they have different interfaces, for example, in connection with COM based objects. Of course, the dispids and parameters for the two objects still would be the same. However, the first object or the second object may be selectively accessed after snapping in the
30 second object.

In this way, a truly binary compatible object system

may be developed in which a second object may be snapped into a container or client containing a first object and the second object may be utilized without recompiling. No recompiling is necessary even though the first and second 5 objects have different GUIDs and even if the first and second objects have different interfaces, for example, in the case of COM applications.

While these techniques are applicable to a variety of technologies, they are particularly applicable to ActiveX 10 controls wherein first and second controls may be snapped into a container. In this way the container can selectively access either the first or the second object without recompiling. In applications using a layer class or wrapper, the layer class may then be associated with more 15 than one object. A new ActiveX control can be snapped in without creating a new layer class each time, which would require recompiling.

Referring now to Fig. 2, a more detailed flow chart for implementing the technology of Fig. 1 in COM technology 20 begins with block 16. At block 16, each object for a given client or container is registered. At block 18, a layer class is appropriately programmed (using the SetGUID and GetGUID methods described below) to enable the GUIDs for any of the registered objects to be selected. Thereafter, it is 25 then possible to access either object despite the fact that the second object that is snapped in may have a different GUID than the first object. In connection with applications involving ActiveX controls using dynamic linked libraries or DLLs, the registration is normally accomplished using the 30 DllRegisterServer() method in Windows®-based applications. In non-ActiveX applications when DLLs are not used, the

registration may be done with EXEs. In this way, the GUIDs of the respective objects are registered in a database (or in Windows® applications, at the registry).

SetGUID and GetGUID methods are implemented in an application involving a layer class. GetGUID, which is part of the layer class, provides the GUID that is set when the layer class calls for its own GUID. The SetGUID method, which is part of the layer class, sets the GUID in the layer class for the desired object, as shown at block 22. Thus, SetGUID sets the new GUID in the layer class and GetGUID returns the GUID from the database.

In alternative embodiments it is possible to use the CoCreateInstance method to send in the GUID of the second object when that object is referenced. The GUID of the second object is sent in for the snapped in second object and the same interface definition may be utilized for both objects. In this case, the SetGUID and the GetGUID method are unnecessary and manipulation of the layer class is likewise unnecessary.

Using either technique, it is possible to dynamically create new functions in the future for a given client or container. This can be done in a truly binary compatible fashion without requiring identifiers to be identical or interfaces to be identical, or recompiling.

Referring again to Fig. 2, one can access the first object, as indicated in block 24, thereafter obtain the GUID for a second object, as indicated in block 26, and set the GUID for the second object in place of the GUID for the first object, as indicated in block 28. As indicated in block 30, the second object may be accessed by the same container or client that previously would have accessed the

first object. This is done in a binary compatible fashion without recompiling.

These techniques are applicable, not only to in process servers such as DLLs, but also to remote applications, such as Distributed COMs (DCOMs). Generally the techniques described herein are applicable to any object which can be snapped into a container or client situation.

Referring now to Fig. 3, a pair of objects 32 and 34 have different GUIDs and different interfaces. The interface for the object 32 is indicated as I1 and the GUID for object 32 is indicated as GUIDA. Similarly, the GUID for object 34 is GUIDB and the interface for object 34 is I2.

The compiled application, indicated within the dotted line box 36, includes, in the illustrated embodiment, a layer class or wrapper 38 and the client or container 46.

As indicated, the layer class communicates with the client 46.

The layer class 38 includes the SetGUID method 42 and the GetGUID method 40. The layer class 38 also stores the selected GUID 44. In the illustrated embodiment, the selected GUID is the GUIDA as indicated by the arrow between the layer class and the object 32. However, the layer class can obtain the GUID for the object 34 through the client from the system database 48. The system database or registry includes GUIDs 50 and 52 in the illustrated embodiment. Thus, the client can obtain GUIDs for desired objects from the system database 48 and the layer class can access the corresponding object without requiring recompiling.

In prior systems, the objects 32 and 34 would need

